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## General Information

- Data set: run 15 pp transverse $\sqrt{s}=200 \mathrm{GeV}$,fms stream
- (production_pp200trans_2015)
- Production type: MuDst ; Production tag: P15ik
- Trigger for FMS : FMS small board sum, FMS large board sum and FMS-JP.
- EM-jet reconstruction: Anti- $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}$ algorithm with $\mathrm{R}=0.7$
- EM-jet: the jet reconstructed using only photons (FMS point).


## Diffractive process channels

2 diffractive channels are considered.

Require:

- Contain only 1 west RP track.
- Either no east side RP track or only 1 east side RP track.
- sum of west side tracks energy (west side proton + EM Jet) less than beam energy

BEMC



## Event selection and corrections

- FMS
- 8 Triggers, veto on FMS-LED
- bit shift, bad / dead / hot channel masking (include fill by fill hot channel masking)
- Jet reconstruction: StJetMaker2015, Anti-kT, R<0.7, FMS point energy $>2 \mathrm{GeV}, \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{T}}>\mathbf{1 G e V} / \mathrm{c}$, trigger $\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ threshold cut, FMS point as input.
- Apply energy correction.
- Only allow acceptable beam polarization (up/down).
- Vertex (Determine vertex z priority according to TPC , VPD, BBC.)
- Vertex $|z|<80 \mathrm{~cm}$


## Corrections:

EM-jet energy correction and Underlying Event correction

- Roman Pot and Diffractive process: (Diffractive EM-jet $A_{N}$ analysis only)
- Acceptable cases: (in next slide)

1. Only 1 west RP track + no east RP track
2. Only 1 east RP track + only 1 west RP track

- RP track must be good track:
a) Each track hits $>6$ planes
b) $-2<\theta_{\mathrm{X}}<2 \mathrm{mrad}, 1.5<\left|\theta_{\mathrm{y}}\right|<4.5 \mathrm{mrad}$
- Sum of west RP track energy and all EM Jet energy (see detail in table)

| $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | $E$ sum Cut |
| :--- | :--- |
| $0.1-0.15$ | $E_{\text {sum }}<108 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $0.15-0.2$ | $E_{\text {sum }}<108 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $0.2-0.25$ | $E_{\text {sum }}<110 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $0.25-0.3$ | $E_{\text {sum }}<110 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $0.3-0.45$ | $E_{\text {sum }}<115 \mathrm{GeV}$ |

- BBC ADC sum cuts: (Diffractive EM-jet $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ analysis only)
- West Large BBC ADC sum < 60 and West Small BBC ADC sum < 100


## Apply the trigger threshold $p_{T}$ cut

- The EM-jet $p_{T}$ based on the trigger threshold are listed as follows, with $15 \%$ increase. Consistent with inclusive EM-jet $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ analysis

| Trigger name | Trigger ID | 15\% increase $p_{T}$ cut [GeV] |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| FMS-JP0 | $480810 / 480830$ | 1.84 |
| FMS-JP1 | $480809 / 480829$ | 2.76 |
| FMS-JP2 | $480808 / 480828$ | 3.68 |
| FMS-sm-bs1 | 480801 | 1.26 |
| FMS-sm-bs1 | $480821 / 480841$ | 1.15 |
| FMS-sm-bs2 | $480802 / 480822$ | 1.84 |
| FMS-sm-bs3 | 480803 | 2.53 |
| FMS-sm-bs3 | $480823 / 480843$ | 2.18 |
| FMS-Ig-bs1 | 480804 | 1.26 |
| FMS-Ig-bs1 | $480824 / 480844$ | 1.15 |
| FMS-Ig-bs2 | $480405 / 480425$ | 1.84 |
| FMS-Ig-bs3 | $480406 / 480426$ | 2.76 |

## Run 15 diffractive EM-jet $A_{N}$ results

- Cross-ratio method is used to extract the $A_{N}$ results.
- Totally show $4 x_{F}$ bins, due to the limited statistics.
- $0.1<\left|x_{F}\right|<0.2,0.2<\left|x_{F}\right|<0.25,0.25<\left|x_{F}\right|<0.3,0.3<\left|x_{F}\right|<0.45$
- All photon multiplicity EM-jets
- About 1.9 sigma for non-zero $A_{N}$



## Comparison between inclusive and diffractive EM-jet $A_{N}$

- $\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{T}}>\mathbf{1} \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$, only considering photon multiplicity 1 or $\mathbf{2}$
- T-test are applied to investigate non-consistency between two analyses.



## One sample T-test

- Do the one sample T-test for inclusive and diffractive EM-jet $A_{N}$ to check if they are consistent.
- Compare only EM-jet with all photons (only statistical uncertainty)
- Check for $p_{T}>1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ with trigger threshold cut



## One sample T-test

- Do the one sample T-test for inclusive and diffractive EM-jet $A_{N}$ to check if they are consistent.
- Compare only EM-jet with 1 or 2 photons
- About 1 sigma non-consistency are obtained for both analyses.

| Inclusive EM-jet A_N sta | sys |  | Diffractive EM-jet A_N | sta | sys | d = Inclusive EM-jet <br> A_N - Diffractive EM-jet <br> A_N |  | d/sta+sys |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00642878 | 0.00437334 | 0.00032144 | -0.0313224 | 0.0518561 | 0.0205252 |  | 0.03775118 | 0.72542358 | 0.67482057 |
| 0.00986271 | 0.000886606 | 0.00049314 | -0.079678 | 0.0491682 | 0.0708062 |  | 0.08954071 | 1.82081419 | 1.03864218 |
| 0.0172103 | 0.000651766 | 0.00086052 | -0.0281373 | 0.0507298 | 0.116416 |  | 0.0453476 | 0.8938308 | 0.35708584 |
| 0.0213545 | 0.000659429 | 0.00106773 | -0.0948827 | 0.0438875 | 0.0255548 |  | 0.1162372 | 2.64822743 | 2.28809159 |

$$
t=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{s / \sqrt{n-1}}
$$

Where $\bar{x}$ is the average of the A_N difference over uncertainty (d/uncertainty), $\mu$ is 0 for this hypothesis, $s$ is standard derivation, n is number of data points.

|  |  |  |  | Results |  | d/sta |  | d/sta+sys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | t |  | 2.95561745 |  | 2.23067249 |
|  |  |  |  | P |  | <10\% |  | <20\% |
| cum. prob | $t_{\text {. } 50}$ | $t_{.75}$ | $t_{\text {.80 }}$ | $t_{\text {. }}^{85}$ | $t_{\text {. } 90}$ | $t_{\text {. } 95}$ | $t_{9}$ |  |
| one-tail | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.02 |  |
| two-tails | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.0 |  |
| df |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.376 | 1.963 | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.7 |  |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.816 | 1.061 | 1.386 | 1.886 | 2920 | 4.30 |  |
| 3 | 0.000 | 0.765 | 0.978 | 1.250 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.18 |  |

## East RP track coincidence study

- Goal: Investigate the possible contribution of east side RP track intact events to inclusive EM-jet $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$.
- Data set: 6 fills (as test) from run 15 FMS stream
- Only consider the runs with RP response.
- Event selection:
- EM-jet cuts are same as diffractive EM-jet $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ analysis (Slide 4)
- Only 1 east side RP track, and this east RP track must be good track (Slide 4)
- No sum energy cuts and BBC ADC sum cuts.


## Fraction of EM-jets with 1 east RP track

- Fraction $=\frac{n_{E M-\text { jets }} \text { with } 1 \text { east } R P \text { track }}{n_{E M-\text { jets }}}$
- The probability of away-side proton intact as diffractive event is highest at low EM-jet $p_{T}$ or large photon multiplicity.
- These are the kinematic regions where the inclusive EM-jet $A_{N}$ is smallest, so the large $A_{N}$ doesn't arise from such diffractive events where the awayside proton remains intact.




## Plans for paper proposal and discussion

- We plan to publish the results for inclusive and diffractive EM-jet $A_{N}$ for run 15 FMS data
- We plan to give 2 papers:

1. One PLB paper: focus on diffractive EM-jet $A_{N}$ for run 15 FMS , including Figure in slide 6, as well as the east RP coincidence study and inclusive EM-jet $A_{N}$ separated by photon multiplicity.
2. One PRD paper: focus on inclusive EM-jet $A_{N}$ for run 15 FMS , as well as the comparison with diffractive EM-jet $A_{N}$ for run 15 FMS, including Figure in slide 7.

- Discussion:

1. Is one paper proposal fine for both papers ; or we need to do separate paper proposal?

## Conclusion

- Run 15 inclusive and diffractive EM-jet $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ analyses are close to finalized and start to proceed to paper proposal and preparation.
- Diffractive EM-jet $A_{N}$ analysis systematic uncertainties might need to better considered.

Back up

## Systematic uncertainty for residual background

- Systematic uncertainties for residual background effect mainly come from the cut for selecting signal from background.
- Energy sum cut: change the energy sum cut to check the uncertainty.
- Small BBC ADC sum cut: change 100 to 105
- Large BBC ADC sum cut: change 60 to 65
- Ring of fire
- Trigger: fms-sm-bs3

|  | E sum cut for <br> $X_{F}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0.1-0.15$ | $E_{\text {sum }}<108 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sum }}<112 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $0.15-0.2$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sum }}<108 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sum }}<112 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $0.2-0.25$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sum }}<110 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sum }}<114 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $0.25-0.3$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sum }}<110 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sum }}<114 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $0.3-0.45$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sum }}<115 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {sum }}<120 \mathrm{GeV}$ |

## Inclusive EM-jet $A_{N}$ result

- Inclusive EM-jet $A_{N}$ result with EM-jet $p_{T}>1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ cut.


Transverse single spin asymmetry $\left(\mathrm{A}_{N}\right)$ calculation

- We use cross ratio method to calculate the diffractive EM Jet $A_{N}$ at FMS.

- Plot $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ as a function of $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{F}}$ or $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(x_{F}=\frac{E_{\text {EM jet }}}{E_{\text {Beam }}}\right)$
- Divide full $\phi$ range $[-\pi,+\pi]$ into 16 bins.



## Diffractive EM-jet $2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} p_{T}$ cut

- If we apply $2 \mathrm{GeV} p_{T}$ cut for diffractive EM-jet, $A_{N}$ for $x_{F}<0.2$ are unable to extract. Therefore, we look at $3 x_{F}$ bins: $0.2<x_{F}<0.25$, $0.25<x_{F}<0.3,0.3<x_{F}<0.45$.



## Low photon multiplicity $A_{N}$ and comparison with inclusive EM-jet $A_{N}$

- Diffractive EM-jet $2 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c} p_{T}$ cut as well as trigger threshold cuts are applied, which are same $p_{T}$ cut as inclusive EM-jets.
- Low photon multiplicity: 1 or 2 photons in EM-jet (compare with inclusive results)


